

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vürginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,304	09/18/2003	Brian J. Vanbenschoten	05918-294001 / VGCP No. 9059 5 EXAMINER	
26161 75	90 07/15/2005	•		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			EASHOO, MARK	
BOSTON, MA	· · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001011, 1111	. 02110		1732	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	T & 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/666,304	VANBENSCHOTEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732				
Period for Reply	Source on the cover sheet with the t	oon espondence dad ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	lay 2005.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,9,10,12-22,31-39,41-46 and 50-6</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3,9,10,12-22,31-38</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>39,41-46</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	3 and 50-67 is/are withdrawn fron					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
	•					
Attachment(s)	para di mana					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>var.</u>	4)	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	etion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050712				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 39 and 41-46 in the reply filed on 08-MAY-2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-3, 9, 10, 12-22, 31-38, and 50-67 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claim grouping(s), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 08-MAY-2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 39, 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kenney et al. (US Pat. 5,725,928).

Kenney et al. teaches the basic claimed process of making a touch fastener, comprising: forming a composite polymeric sheet of at least two different materials having a boundary therebetween and fastener element stems with engageable heads extending from at least one surface (Figs. 7-10); wherein one of the polymeric materials is a foam material (3:25-40); and wherein a plurality side-by-side layers/lanes (ie. at least two) are formed by bonding/laminating or coextrusion (3:25-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 1732

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenney et al. (US Pat. 5,725,928).

Kenney et al. teaches the basic claimed process as set forth above. Kenney et al. does not teach a thermosetting foam. However, Official Notice is given that thermosetting foams, such as elastomeric polyisocyanurates and polyurethanes, expanded by chemical blowing agents or gas, are well known in the molding/laminate art. At the time of invention a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have used such foaming means as commonly practiced in the art, in the process of Kenney et al., and would have been motivated to do so provide a compatible and flexible backing for use in an automobile seat.

Art Unit: 1732

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached PTO-892.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1197. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-3pm EST, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1732

7/12/05 12:29 PM me